

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,692	11/25/2003	Kenichi Murakami	108421-00084	3043	
. 4372 7	590 06/09/2005		EXAMINER		
ARENT FOX PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400			DOTY, HEATHER ANNE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2813		
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2009	DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/720,692	MURAKAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Heather A. Doty	2813	,			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	March 2004.					
·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		;				
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3, 5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o, are ass,			•			
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receiv	<sup>,</sup> ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/15/04</u> .	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2813

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 1, 11, 21, and 22, all in Fig. 1. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, Application/Control Number: 10/720,692

Art Unit: 2813

or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As written, claim 4 and claim 2 appear to contradict each other. According to paragraph 0003 of the specification, activated carbon, conductive carbon, binder, and solvent are mixed and kneaded first, and the electrode-forming sheet is then obtained by rolling and drying. Therefore, the alcohol-based solvent cannot both be a forming agent used during the kneading process and also added after the rolling process, since the rolling process is subsequent to the kneading process.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Morimoto et al. (4,862,328).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, APA teaches a process for producing an electrode for an electric double layer capacitor, the process comprising joining an electrode-forming sheet including activated carbon, conductive carbon, and binder and a collector sheet having a conductive adhesive on its surface, wherein a solvent is a forming aid agent which is used during a kneading process of the activated carbon, the conductive carbon, and the binder (specification, paragraph 0003). APA does not teach that the electrode-forming sheet contains alcohol-based solvent having 2 to 10% by weight of

the electrode-forming sheet while joining the collector sheet and the electrode-forming sheet.

Morimoto et al. teaches a process for producing an electrode for an electric double layer capacitor comprising adding 2% by weight of ethanol to the electrode-forming sheet material, and kneading and rolling out the material to form an electrode-forming sheet (column 7, lines 25-36).

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of APA by adding 2% by weight of ethanol to the activated carbon, conductive carbon, and binder, as taught by Morimoto et al., to result in an electrode-forming sheet containing 2 to 10% by weight of the electrode-forming sheet while joining the collector sheet and the electrode-forming sheet.

The motivation for doing so at the time of the invention would have been to create a capacitor with a large capacitance per unit volume, as taught by Morimoto et al. (column 1, line 65 – column 2, line 2).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 5, and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art does not teach or suggest, in combination with the other claimed limitations, adding an alcohol-based solvent to an electrode-forming sheet after the

Application/Control Number: 10/720,692

Art Unit: 2813

Page 5

rolling process of the electrode-forming sheet, a conductive adhesive that contains carbon particles of large diameter and small diameter at a ratio in a range of 30:70 to 70:30, or a collector sheet that has not fewer than 100,000 pittings with diameters of 4 to 10  $\mu$ m and depths of 4 to 15  $\mu$ m/cm², with the total area of the pittings not occupying more than 50% of the surface of the collector sheet.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,692

Art Unit: 2813

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Heather A. Doty, whose telephone number is 571-272-

8429. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached at 571-272-1702. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

had

CRAIG A. THOMPSON

Page 6